IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF CHARGE BARGELUADES ACOURT
AT ROANDKE, VA
FILED

MAR 0 4 2015

CARL D. GORDON, Plaintiff, COMPLAINT DEPUTY CLERK CLUTL ACTION

V.

FRED SCHILLING, individually and in his official capacity as Health Services Director of the Virginia Department of Corrections; MARK AMMETTE, individually and in his official capacity os Chief Physician of the Virginia Department of Corrections,

Defendants.

#### I JURISDICTION & VENUE

Section 1983 to redress the deprivation, under color of state law, of rights secured by the Constitution of the United States. The Court has jurisdiction under 28 U.S. C. Section 1331 and 1343(a) (3). Plaintiff seeks declaratory relief pursuant to 28U.S.C. Section 2201 and 2202. Plaintiff's claims for injunctive relief are authorized by 28U.S.C. Section 22-83 & 2284 and Rule 65 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure.

2. The Western District is the appropriate renue under 28 U.S.C. Section 1391 (b) (2) because it is where the events giving rise to these claims occurred.

## II. PLAINTIFF

3. I carl d. gordon, the plaintiff, am and was at all times mentioned herein, a prisoner of the State of Virginia in the custody of the Virginia Department of Corrections. I am currently housed at Wallens Ridge State Prison.

# III. DEFENDANTS

A. Each defendant is sued individually and in their official capacity. At all times mentioned in this Complaint, each defendant acted under the color of state law.

### IV. FACTS

Plaintiff, proceeding in propria persona states as follows: 5. Dn March 20, 2008, while housed at RedOnion State Prison (ROSP), Dr. H. E. Smith informed me that my February 2008 blood test results showed that I have hepatitis C (HCV), and added my name to the chronic care list for HCV, stamping "MD CHRONIC CARE CLINIC" on my medical chart under the "3-20-08" entry.

- 6. Being on the chronic care list meant that I had liver function tests (LFT's) done every six months, and received a physical and doctor visit every six months. See nurse response, attached exhibit 1.
- 7. In the seven years since Dr. smith informed me I have HCV, no doctor has said anything to me about even the possibility of starting HCV treatment, and Kept telling me the LFT's and doctor visits were to "manitor" me-
- 8. In 2011 the policy changed to one chronic care doctor visit annually, and LFT's being done only once a year. Though no doctor has seen me regarding HCV since I have been at Wallens Ridge state Prison (WASP), where I was transferred to on May 4, 2012.

9. When chronic care checkups were reduced to one annually, I submitted grievance FROSF-11-AEG-00-711 (#80711), stating in part:

"[S] seing me only once a year won't provide the ENurse Fractitioner] the opportunity to determine whether LFT's are inecessary or my viralload should be checked. Moreover, according to hepatologist Dr. Sanjiv Chopra, and the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, my liver enzyme level should be checked several times a year. Therefore, I must continue to be given my chronic cave Case 7:15-cv-00095-NKM-JCH Documents. Filed 03/04/15 Page 3 of 21 Pageid#: 3

check-up twice a year so that the progression of my disease can be properly and regularly monitored with LFTs so that treatment can begin before it is too lote and futile. This cannot be done by giving me my chronic care check-up only once a year.

10. The Level I Warden's Response considered that grievance to be "UNFOUNDED", and I appealed the unfounded decision to Level II, Mr. Fred Schilling,
Health Services Director. The Health Services Director
is the Office of Primary Responsibility for the entire
Virginia Department of Corrections (VDOC) regarding all medical/health related policies and procedures. It is therefore Mr. Schilling's duty to implement, interpret and enforce all policies and procedures governing medical/health related issues.
11. Mr. Schilling's Level II Response to grievance 00711, states:

Based on the information provided and uponfurther investigation, I concur with the Level I response and have determined your grievance UNFOUNDED. Be advised that the ROSP Providers have the autonomy to manitoryour chronic medical condition as your clinical needs change. It is important that you foil ow the recommendations of the medical case 7:15-cv-00095-NKM-JCH Documents Filed 03/04/15 Page 4 of 21 Pageid#: 4

staff regarding your treatment plan. Furthermore, the ROSP Medical Staff is comprised of licensed Nealth care professionals who are qualified to provide medical services to you.

If you continue to experience health issues, please resubmit a sick call request for further evaluation of your medical needs and treatment plan."

(Emphasis in original).

12. Mr. Schilling's reference to my "treatmentplan" was solely to purposefully and maliciously mislead me into believing I would receive treatment for my HCV infection per se. When in fact Mr. Schilling Knew there was no plan to treat my HCV infection because I am eligible for parale once a year, though my mandatory release date is not until October 10, 2028.

13. On April 25, 2013, I submitted grievance WASP\_ 13-REG-00365 (#00365), stoting in part:

"Medical refuses to have the doctor see me for the chronic care checkup I have not received since 2011, so that I cannot receive the blood pressure reading I'm entitled to receive free of charge during my chronic care doctor visit.

... Medical Knows I should have received a chronic

Enclosed:

Carl D. Gordon v. Fred Schilling, et al.

Complaint pages 6 - 20.

could. sonden

care checkup by the doctor last year but is deliberately indifferent to my serious medical condition."

14. Level I Response # 00365 stated incorrect information under "Investigation", and considered the grievance "to be UNFOUNDED". Which I appealed to Level II, Mr. Fred Schilling, who also deemed it to be "UNFOUNDED", stating in part:

"It is reported by Nurse Stanford that you are chronic care for the management of your Hepatitis infection. However, you are subject to a co-pay charge when you request medical services unless you have a follow up appointment scheduled by the health care staff. This issue:s governed by OP 720.4."

See attached Exhibit 2.
15. Mr. Schilling Knew that policy required meta receive a chronic care checkup once a year, and that during the checkup my vital signs/bloodpressure is checked free of charge. Chronic care fortor visits/checkups are free of charge and I received at least one a year from the year of my HCV diagnosis, 2008, until 2011, the lost time I received a free chronic care doctor visit/checkup regarding my HCV disease.

16. Mr. Schilling's Level II Response to grievance

#00365, shows his deliberate indifference to my HCV disease. It was his duty to deem my grievance founded and instruct WASP Medical Staff to provide me the annual chronic care doctor visit/checkup that my HCV infection entitles me to per VDOC policy.

17. On October 27, 2013, I submitted grievance WASR

13-REG-00808 (#00808), stating:

"Nurse Stanford does not deny that other prisoners at WAST have been provided free chronic care dector visits in 2013, such as Richard Brady # 1129263, who says he has Hepatitis C. Richard Brady says that during his tree chronic care doctor checkup the doctor questioned him as to whether he experienced any symptoms of his disease. Which discriminates against be Esic I by not beingshown the same consideration by Dr. Miller I have been on chronic care since 2008 but have not received the annual chronic caredoctor visit Operating Procedure 720.2 entitles me to, since 2011, even though last year WASP Medical Dept. said I would receive it. Richard Brady is a white prisoner and I am black. Providing Brady the free chronic care

doctor visit he is entitled to annually while repeatedly denying me mines is raced based discrimination because no legitimate reason has
been offered as to why Brady and others received their chronic care checkups, but I
haven't."

18. Level I Warden's Response \*00308 states in part that I was "La Idded to HCV list" in May 2012, and that I "need Led I to submit a request for medical services if [II] need to be seen by medical staff." See attached Exhibit 3. Which completely ignored that being "La Idded to HCV list" means the chronic care list that entitles me to an annual doctor visit and physical.

19. Level I Response # 00808 does not denythat other prisoners at WRSP received free HCV chronic care doctor visits/checkups in 2013. See Exh. 3. I appealed the Level I Response to Level II, Mr. Fred Schilling, who also "determined I the I grievance I to be I UNFOUNDED". See attached Exhibit A. Which upheld racial discrimination.

20. Mr. schilling also stated, "You do not have a chronic care diagnosis that VADOC recognizes at this time for chronic care. Hep C is not a chronic care clinic at this time! Exh. 4. Which shows Mr. Schillings clear intent to deprive me of

all levels of HCV care and treatment. Evidenced by the fact that Mr. Schilling expressly contradicted his responses to grievances = 00711 and # 00365.

Iling stated that according to Nurse Stanford I am "chronic care for the management of [my] Hepatitis infection". Exh. 2. Mr. Schilling did not contradict Nurse Stanford's assertion that I am on chronic care for HCV, and clearly agreed that

I am. 22. Mr. schilling's response to grievance #00711, states, "You want a chronic care check up twice a year," for my "Hepatitis ("; and goes on to state," Be advised that the ROSP Providers have the autonomy to monitor your chronic medical condition; all of which clearly means I am chronic care for HCV, a chronic care diagnosis. See attached Exhibit 5.

23. Mr. schilling's claims in his response to grievance #00808, that I "do not have a chronic care diagnosis that VADOC recognizes at this time for chronic care "and "Hep C is not a chronic care clinic at this time", are intentional false statements for the sole purpose of denying me all levels of HCV care and treatment. Which is an abuse and misuse of Mr. schilling's power as Health Case 7:15-cv-00095-NKM-JCH Document & Filed 03/04/15 Page 10 of 21 Pageid#: 10

Services Director of the VDOC. Cf Exhibits 2, 4, 5.

24. On June 6, 2013, I had submitted grievance

WBSP-13-REG-80476 (#00476), complaining
of being denied the "written protocols/guidelines
for when HCV treatment should begin," stating
further that "I must be provided a copy of the
written guidelines and criteria for determining
when treatment for HCV should begin,"

25. Level I Warden's Response #00476 states in part," You were added to Hepatitis C Chronic Care" and, "No written protocol/criteria or guidelines will be provided to jou" Level I deemed grievance #00476" to be UNFOUNDED." 26. I appealed Level I Response #00476 to Level I, Mr. Fred Schilling, who did not in any way contradict Level I's statement that I was "added to Hepatitis C Chronic Care." See attached Exhibit 6.

27. Mr. Schilling determined grievance 00476 to be "UNFOUNDED", stating further:

responsible for your care and he will determine the course of your hepatitis treatment
based on your past history and current medical status. The VADOC hepatitis guidelines
are restricted operating procedures; therefore,

offenders are not allowed access to these procedures. This issue is governed by restricted policy."

Exhibit 6.

28. Mr. Schilling's response to grievance to 0476, clearly agrees with Level I that I was/am on chronic care for HCV as of July 29,2013, which shows that his statements on January 14,2014, that I "do not have a chronic care diagnosis that VADBC recognizes," and that "Hep C is not a chronic care clinic," were fabrications to just a chronic care clinic," were fabrications to just ify denying me care and treatment for my HCV disease. Cf. Exhibits 4 and 6.

29. Mr. Schillings response (Exh. 6), regarding "the course of [my] hepatitis treatment," was again solely to mislead me into believing that I will receive curative treatment for my HCV disease.

30. Mi. Schilling Knew that the Hepatitis C standard Treatment Guidelines (HCV Guidelines) that he himself implemented and enforce, prevents me from receiving treatment that can eradicate my HCV disease, solely because I have a parale eligibility date once a year. Which is cruel and unusual punishment and serves no rational, legitimate penological objective.

thing related to any aspect of security, and are restricted from prisoners review solely to prevent all prisoners who entered the VDOC before parole was abolished in 1995 or 96, from discovering that we will be and/or are being, denied curative HCV treatment only because we are parole eligible once a year.

32. Which is a death sentence for many of us, or a guarantee of an unhealthy, poor quality of middle age and/or elderly life, solely for economic reasons: To save money for the VDOC's primary interests; building prisons, establishing enterprises, and making multimillion dollar purchases.

33. Prisoners entering the VDOC afteracestain date in 1995-'96, are not eligible for parole, but are therefore eligible for HCV treatment, per the HCV Guidelines.

34. Which means that, prisoners entering the VDOC after 1995-'96, with life sentences and 100 or more years, can receive curative HCV treatment to some or prolong their lives, even though they will never be released from prison, while those of us sentenced before 1995, who are returning to free society, must return with HCV infections, posing a serious risk to the health and safety of members of free society.

35. Which is not only irrational, but is malicious and disregards not only my health, life, and safety, but more importantly, disregards the health, life and safety of law abiding citizens in free society who trusts their government to protect them.

36. Purposefully releasing HCV infected prisoners into society instead of curing all of us that are susceptible to curative treatment, is akin to terrorism, but at the very least shows deliberate indifference to the health and lives of

every citizen in free society.

37. Because the HCV Guidelines do not concern any aspect of security, the State of Virginia, in Carl D. Gordon v. Commonwealth of Virginia, Case No. CLII-30, did not object to my discovery request for the HCV Guidelines, which I received on December 22, 2014. See attached Exhibit 7.

38. It was therefore on December 22, 2014, that I discovered that I have been denied HCV treatment for seven years solely because I am annually eligible for parole, even though my mandatory release date is not until October 10, 2028

39.01 January 11, 2015, I submitted grievance I WRSP-14-REG-00015 (#00015), stating.

"The medical criteria listed under SCREENING, of the Hepatitis C Standard Treatment Guidelines (STG) that were in effect until recently, shows that I was entitled to receive Lreatment at the time of my diagnosis in 2008 and when I arrived at WRSP in May 2012, and throwghout 2013. However, I was denied HCV treatment at Wallens Pridge State Prison in 2012 and 2013 solely because under Treatment Inclusion Criteria is stated: Inmate is not parole eligible and must have greater than 24 months remaining to serve after liver biopsy prior to earliest release date. I am eligible for parole once each year though I declined all hearings since 2002. I have been denied HCV treatment since 2008 solely because I am eligible for parole once a year, even though the entire time I met the medical criteria for HCV treatment under the former He patitis C STG. Denying me HCV treatment I was entitled to receive under the STG medical criteria, unnecessarily allowed myliver to potentially deteriorate much more than it needed to."

See Exh. 7 page 3, Treatment Inclusion Criteria (1).

40. On October 1, 2014, the Medical Director at WASP, Ms. M. Stanford, had informed me that the HCV Guidelines were in the process of being rewritten by the Chief Physician at the Health Services Unit in Richmond, Dr. Mark Ammette.

41. Level I Response # 00015 did not dis-

Case 7:15-cv-00095-NKM-JCH Document 1 4 Filed 03/04/15 Page 15 of 21 Pageid#: 15

former HCV Guidelines (Exh. 7) entitled me to receive HCV treatment for the past seven years, or that I was denied the treatment solely because I am annually eligible for parale.

Al. The Level I Response states that, as of "(1) 20/15), the VADOC Health Services Unit has not issued guidelines for the treatment of HCV. Treatment provided at WRSP must be authorized by the Health Services Unit." See attached Exhibit 8, Which refers to the guidelines that are being rewritten, and the "Health Services Unit" means Mr. Schilling and Dr. Ammette. The grievance was deemed UNFOUNDED."

43. On February 13, 2015, Mr. Schilling's Level II Response also deemed grievance F00015 to be unfounded, and stated:

"As you have been advised, the HCV guidelines are pending. Mean while, the WASP medical department will continue to evaluate, menitor, and provide you with the medical care as your hepatitis condition dictates. This issue is governed by restricted policy."

See attached Exhibit 9.

44. Mr. Schilling's response simply paid lip service to the evaluations and medical care that he knew I have not received and will not receive, solely because I have an annual parole eligibility date. Moreover, when his Level II Case 7:15-cv-00095-NKM-JCH Document, 1. Filed 03/04/15 Page 16 of 21 Pageid#: 16

Response declared that I "do not have a chronic care diagnosis, and that "Hep C is not a chronic care clinic,"

Mr. Schilling ensured that I will not receive any

HCV care and evaluation other than having my blood drawn once a year for LFT's. See Exh. 4.

45. Which deprives me of the physicals that even those of us excluded from HCV treatment are entitled to an chronic care, according to the HCV Guidelines. See Exh. 7, p. 4, III (A). But as stated above, I have not had a physical since 2011, and no doctor has discussed my condition with me since 2011.

AG. The most essential evaluation to be made after a HCV diagnosis, is to determine whether there has been a histological change in the liver such as fibrosis or cirrhosis, and the most accurate way to diagnose fibrosis or cirrhosis, is through a liver biopsy. Which I have never had done because I am excluded from treatment due to my annual parole eligibility dote. See Exh. 7 page 3.

47. According to Dr. Sanjiv Chapta's Liver Book, fibrosis can be reversed with interferon therapy, which is used by the VDOC to eradicate HCV. The final stage of fibrosis is cirrhosis. Cirrhosis is the twelfth leading cause of death in the United States. Eliminate liver fibrosis and there can be no cirrhosis.

48. Refusing to biops/ my liver for the seven Case 7:15-cv-00095-NKM-JCH Document 46Filed 03/04/15 Page 17 of 21 Pageid#: 17

years that 'nas passed since my HCV diagnosis, may be causing me to develope cirrhosis that I might did not have at the time of my diagnosis, though fibrosis may have been present in my liver and could have been reversed or eliminated by pegylated interferon and ribavirin therapy for six months.

49. The HCV Guidelines require interferon and ribavirin therapy for only six months to treather genotypes 2 and 3. See Exh. 7 page. The Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC) agrees that "Itlor patients with genotypes 2 and 3, a 24-week course of combination [alpha interferon and ribavirin] treatment is adequate, whereas for patients with genotype 1, a 48-week course is recommended."

And Dr. Sanjiv Chopra agrees with the CDC, limiting interferon treatment to one year max regardless of the HCV genotype.

50. Therefore, regardless of the genotype of HCV I have, my once a year parale eligibility would not in any way interfere with the full course of standard treatment used to eradicate HCV, in the VDOC and in society at large, even if I did make parale, if the treatment is begun after I am denied parale, even if the treatment requires a year. Plus, I could always get a six month parale deferral if necessary, as I did in 1987, pending the outcome of an upcoming trial a few months after the 1987 parale hearing.

51. There is therefore no rational basis for ex-

cluding me or any other prisoner from receiving interferon therapy because we have one parole hearing a year, and no legitimate pendogical objective is served in doing so. The sole reason for this insidious policy is a purely economical one, for the advancement of the VDOC's economic interests, at the expense of my health and possibly my life.

se of my health and possibly my life.

52. The policy of denying me curative HCV treatment because I am eligible for parole, is unconstitutional in itself in all situations, and especially as applied to me, because I do not discharge from the VDOC

until October 2028.

53. Dr. Mark Ammette wrote the HCV Guidelines, and Mr. Fred Schilling implemented and enforces them. Therefore, Dr. Ammette and Mr. Schilling are both liable for the policy provision that prevented me from receiving HCV treatment for the past seven years because I am annually eligible for parole, and are both deliberately indifferent to the extreme risk of serious harm pased to my health and life by denying me HCV treatment from 2008 until I am released from prison in October 2028.

54. Moreover, as the Level I grievance response stated, "Treatment provided at WRSF must be authorized by the Health Services Unit! Exh. 8. Which is Dr. Ammette and Mr. Schilling.

#### I LEGAL CLAIMS

55. The acts, inactions, and omissions described above, constitutes deliberate indifference inviolation

of the Eighth Amendment to the U.S. Constitution, and deprives me of liberty interests and of equal protection, in violation of the Due Process and Equal Protection, in clauses of the Fourteenth Amendment.

56. I have no plain, adequate or complete remedy at law to redress all of the wrongs described herein.

WHEREFORE, I respectfully pray that this

Honorable Court enter judgment granting me: 57. A declaration that the acts and omissions described herein violated my rights under the constitution and laws of the United States, and continues to violate them; and a declaration that, as long as I have HCV. I am entitled to review any and all HCV Guidelines and VDOC policies which pertain to the medical aspects of treating HCV infected prisoners.

58. A permanent injunction enjoining Dr. Mark Ammete and Mr. Fred Schilling, and their successors, from denging me HCV treatment and care of any Kind because I am eligible for a parole hearing once a year.

59. Compensatory damages from Defendant Mark Ammette in the amount of one-hundred and fifty-thousand doilars (\$150,000), and compensatory damages from Defendant Fred Schilling in the amount of one-hundred and fifty-thousand dollars (\$150,000).

60. Punitive damages from Defendant Mark Ammeter the in the amount of five-hundred thousand dollars (\$500,000), and punitive damages in the amount of five-hundred thousand dollars (\$500,000) from Defendant Fred Schilling

61. A juny Frial on all issues triable by a juny.
62. My costs in this suit, including any assessed against me and all legal loan postage advance me regardin this action. Plus, any other relief the Court deems just, proper, and equitable.

Respectfully submitted

carl do gordan #1014456 By carl do gordan

Wallers Ridge State Prison

POB 759

Big Stone Gop, VA 24219

Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. 8 1746, I declare underpenalty of perjury that the foreyoing Civil Rights Complaint is true and correct to the best of My Knowledge, belief and understanding. Executed at Big Stone Gap, Virginia, on February 24, 2015.

By could gordon